

No. 5:22-CV-105-D

Defendant.


Case 5:22-cv-00105-D Document 21 Filed 07/13/22 Page 1 of 2

omitted). If a party makes only general objections, de novo review is not required. See Wells v. Shriners Hosp., 109 F.3d 198, 200 (4th Cir. 1997). In “order to preserve for appeal an issue in a magistrate judge’s report, a party must object to the finding or recommendation on that issue with sufficient specificity so as reasonably to alert the district court of the true ground for the objection.” Martin v. Duffy, 858 F.3d 239, 245 (4th Cir. 2017) (quotation omitted); see United States v. Midgette, 478 F.3d 616, 622 (4th Cir. 2007).

The court has reviewed the M&R, the record, and plaintiff’s response. The court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record.

In sum, the court ADOPTS the M&R [D.E. 18]. Plaintiff SHALL pay the \$402.00 filing fee not later than July 25, 2022. If plaintiff fails to pay the filing fee by July 25, 2022, the clerk shall close the case without further order from the court.

SO ORDERED. This 13 day of July, 2022.



JAMES C. DEVER III
United States District Judge